Few-Body Systems manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Daniël Boer

Average transverse momentum quantities approaching the lightfront

arXiv:1409.8317v1 [hep-ph] 29 Sep 2014

September 29, 2014

Abstract In this contribution to Light Cone 2014, three average transverse momentum quantities are discussed: the Sivers shift, the dijet imbalance, and the p_T broadening. The definitions of these quantities involve integrals over all transverse momenta that are overly sensitive to the region of large transverse momenta, which conveys little information about the transverse momentum distributions of quarks and gluons inside hadrons. TMD factorization naturally suggests alternative definitions of such integrated quantities, using Bessel-weighting and rapidity cut-offs, with the conventional definitions as limiting cases. The regularized quantities are given in terms of integrals over the TMDs of interest that are well-defined and moreover have the advantage of being amenable to lattice evaluations.

Keywords Transverse momentum · quarks · gluons · Wilson lines

1 Introduction

Many measurements of processes sensitive to the transverse momentum of partons have been performed. For example, semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS), $e\,p \to e'hX$, has been studied as a function of the transverse momentum $\boldsymbol{P}_{h\perp}$ of the final state hadron h with respect to the $\gamma^* p$ axis. In a tree level analysis one can relate this observed transverse momentum to the "intrinsic" partonic transverse momentum, but higher order corrections will modify this relation. The transverse momentum distribution can have a correlation with the spin S_T of the initial proton and with the spin of the quark, the so-called Sivers [1] and Boer-Mulders [2] effects. They lead to transverse momentum dependent azimuthal modulations of cross sections. Such azimuthal asymmetries are most naturally described in terms of transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions (TMDs). Average transverse momentum quantities, such as $\langle k_T \times S_T\rangle$ (related to the Sivers shift) and $\langle k_T^2\rangle$, can be used to quantify the width of the various transverse momentum distributions. Considering such average quantities within the TMD framework has its complications, however, such as possible divergences and process dependence. It has become clear since 2002 that TMDs in general need not be universal, due to gluon rescattering effects [3; 4; 5]. Summation of all gluon insertions leads to path-ordered exponentials in the TMD correlators [6]. The resulting Wilson lines depend on whether the color is incoming or outgoing [7; 8; 4; 9; 5; 10]. Although this does not automatically imply that this will affect observables, it turns out that it does in certain cases, for example, in Sivers effect asymmetries. The gauge invariant definition of the Sivers TMD ($f_{1T}^{\perp}$) is given by a Fourier transform (F.T.) of a hadronic matrix element of a nonlocal operator,

$$P\cdot(\boldsymbol{k}_T \times \boldsymbol{S}_T)\, f_{1T}^{\perp[\mathcal{C}]}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_T^2) \propto \ \text{F.T.}\,\langle P,S_T|\bar\psi(0)\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}[0,\xi]}\,\gamma^+\,\psi(\xi)|P,S_T\rangle\big|_{\xi=(\xi^-,0^+,\xi_T)}, \tag{1}$$

D. Boer
Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen
Nijenborgh 4, NL-9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands
E-mail: d.boer@rug.nl

which contains a gauge link, which is a Wilson line along a path $\mathcal{C}$ from 0 to ξ:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}}[0,\xi] = \mathcal{P}\exp\left(-ig\int_{\mathcal{C}[0,\xi]} ds_\mu\, A^\mu(s)\right). \tag{2}$$

In semi-inclusive DIS it has a future pointing staple-like Wilson line arising from final state interactions (FSI), whereas in Drell-Yan (DY) it is past pointing from initial state interactions (ISI). One can use parity and time reversal invariance to relate the Sivers functions of SIDIS and DY, yielding the overall sign relation: $f_{1T}^{\perp[\mathrm{SIDIS}]} = -f_{1T}^{\perp[\mathrm{DY}]}$ [4]. Experimental studies to test this relation are ongoing at CERN (COMPASS) & Fermilab (SeaQuest), and are considered at several other places, e.g. NICA at JINR and J-PARC.This is not just a test of this relation, but of the TMD formalism as a whole.

Gauge links track the color flow in a process. The more hadrons are observed in a process, the more complicated the end result, yielding more complicated N_c-dependent pre-factors [11; 12; 13]. Too many color flow directions can even lead to factorization breaking [14; 15].

All this is not just a peculiarity of polarized protons, it also affects scattering of unpolarized hadrons. For example, it affects the muon pair angular distribution in the DY process through the double Boer-Mulders effect [16; 17; 13] and also the transverse momentum distribution in Higgs production at the LHC [18; 19] through the linear polarization of gluons inside unpolarized protons [20].

QCD corrections will also attach to the Wilson line, which as a consequence needs renormalization. The Wilson lines are not smooth and involve the so-called cusp anomalous dimension [21; 22; 23]. As a regularization, in TMD factorization the path can be taken off the lightfront, specified by a rapidity cut-off parameter ζ. In short, the TMDs are not just functions $f(x, k_T^2)$ of the momentum fraction x and the transverse momentum k_T, but rather $f^{[\mathcal{U}]}(x, k_T^2; \mu, \zeta)$, where μ denotes the renormalization scale and $\mathcal{U}$ the Wilson line. These complications turn out to be also advantageous. First of all, the change with μ and ζ yields the energy evolution of TMD observables (for the Sivers asymmetries this appears to work [24; 25; 26], but needs to be tested over a larger energy range). It shows that the TMDs and the corresponding asymmetries generally become broader and smaller with increasing energy. At high scales they develop power law tails [27], which matter much in integrals over all transverse momenta, as will be discussed. Another advantage is that finite ζ allows for the calculation of the Sivers effect on the lattice (quite unexpectedly).

2 Transverse momentum weighting

The extraction of TMDs is complicated because TMDs appear in convolution expressions that appear in different ways in different processes. Integration weighted with powers of the observed transverse momentum $P_{h\perp}$ was suggested as a solution, as it projects out "portable" functions [28; 2]. In this way weighted asymmetries become expressions in terms of transverse moments of TMDs:

$$f^{(n)}(x) \equiv \int d^2\boldsymbol{k}_T \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{k}_T^2}{2M^2}\right)^n f(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2) \tag{3}$$

Such transverse moments appear in different processes in exactly the same form (except possibly for a calculable N_c-dependent prefactor). The $n = 1$ moment of the Sivers function appears in the Sivers shift, which is the average transverse momentum shift orthogonal to a given transverse polarization [33]:

$$\langle k_T^y(x)\rangle_{TU} = \left.\frac{\int d^2\boldsymbol{k}_T\, \boldsymbol{k}_T^y\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi(x,\boldsymbol{k}_T;P,S;\mu,\zeta)\gamma^+\right]}{\int d^2\boldsymbol{k}_T\, \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi(x,\boldsymbol{k}_T;P,S;\mu,\zeta)\gamma^+\right]}\right|_{S^\pm=0=S_T^y,\, S_T^x=1} = M\frac{f_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(x;\mu,\zeta)}{f_1^{(0)}(x;\mu,\zeta)}. \tag{4}$$

First a comment on the function $f_1^{(0)}(x;\mu,\zeta)$ in the denominator. Because of the rapidity parameter ζ, the integral over all transverse momenta need not yield the collinear function $f_1(x;\mu)$ exactly [29], $\int d\boldsymbol{k}_T f_1(x,\boldsymbol{k}_T;\mu,\zeta) \stackrel{?}{=} f_1(x;\mu)$, similar to the old question whether $f_1(x;Q) \stackrel{?}{=} \int_{|k_T|<Q} d^2k_T\, f_1(x,k_T^2)$. Instead of such relations where the TMD determines the collinear function, it is rather the collinear function that determines the large transverse momentum part of the TMD, the perturbative tail:

$$f_1(x,\boldsymbol{k}_T^2) \overset{\boldsymbol{k}_T^2 \gg M^2}{\sim} \alpha_s\,\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{k}_T^2}\,(K\otimes f_1)\,(x). \tag{5}$$

The transverse momentum integration of this expression diverges if not suitably cut off. Similarly, without bothering about scale dependences, the $n = 1$ moment of the Sivers function is related [10] to the collinear twist-3 Qiu-Sterman function $T(x, S_T)$ [30]:

$$f_{1T}^{\perp(1)[\pm]}(x) \equiv \int d^2\boldsymbol{k}_T \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_T^2}{2M^2} f_{1T}^{\perp[\pm]}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2) = \mp \frac{T(x, S_T)}{2M}, \tag{6}$$

where in $A^+ = 0$ gauge

$$T(x, S_T) = i\frac{gM}{P^+} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \langle P, S| \,\overline{\psi}(0) \Gamma_\alpha \int d\eta \; F^{+\alpha}(\eta n_-) \; \psi(\lambda n_-) \,|P, S\rangle \tag{7}$$

with $\Gamma_\alpha \equiv \epsilon_{T\beta\alpha} S_T^\beta \; \not{n}_-/(2iMP^+)$. Again due to the ζ dependence this relation between Sivers and Qiu-Sterman functions is not well-determined. Again conversely it is the perturbative tail of the Sivers function that is determined by the Qiu-Sterman function [31; 32]

$$f_{1T}^\perp(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2) \overset{\boldsymbol{k}_T^2 \gg M^2}{\sim} \alpha_s \, \frac{M^2}{\boldsymbol{k}_T^4} \; (K' \otimes T_F)\,(x). \tag{8}$$

Integrating over this perturbative tail without cut-off or regulator will yield a divergent result for the first tranverse moment of the Sivers function.

Conventional weighting with powers of transverse momentum assumes that 1) the integrals converge or are suitably regulated somehow, and 2) taking integrals over only TMD expressions (which are only valid for $Q_T = |\boldsymbol{P}_{h\perp}|/z_h \ll Q$) is fine. To by-pass these tricky issues, both connected with the perturbative tails, one can consider instead a modified weighting: Bessel weighting [33], where instead of $|P_{h\perp}|^n$ one uses $J_n(|P_{h\perp}|\mathcal{B}_T) n! \,(2/\mathcal{B}_T)^n$. If $\mathcal{B}_T$ is not too small, the contribution from the perturbative tail at high transverse momentum will be suppressed and the TMD region ($Q_T \ll Q$) should dominate. In the limit $\mathcal{B}_T \to 0$, when conventional weighting is retrieved, the perturbative tails become very important and divergences may arise. Application of the Bessel-weighting method to asymmetries is discussed in detail in [34]. Bessel-weighted asymmetries involve generalized transverse moments, called Bessel moments for short:

$$\tilde{f}^{(n)}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) = \frac{2\pi \; n!}{(M^2)^n} \int d|\boldsymbol{k}_T||\boldsymbol{k}_T| \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}_T|}{|\boldsymbol{b}_T|}\right)^n J_n(|\boldsymbol{b}_T||\boldsymbol{k}_T|) \; f(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2). \tag{9}$$

The idea is that the convolution in terms of TMDs is best de-convoluted by Fourier transform, in which derivatives of TMDs appear naturally, e.g. for unpolarized hadrons:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T) \;&=\; \frac{M}{2} \left\{ f_1(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2) \, \frac{\not{P}}{M} + h_1^\perp(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2) \, \frac{i \; \not{k}_T \, \not{P}}{M^2} \right\} \\ \overset{\text{F.T.}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \tilde{\Phi}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T) \;&=\; \frac{M}{2} \left\{ \tilde{f}_1(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) \, \frac{\not{P}}{M} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}_T^2} \tilde{h}_1^\perp(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) \right) \frac{2 \; \not{b}_T \, \not{P}}{M^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The conventional transverse moments can be viewed as limits of derivatives of TMDs:

$$\tilde{f}^{(n)}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) = n! \left(-\frac{2}{M^2} \partial_{\boldsymbol{b}_T^2} \right)^n \; \tilde{f}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) \overset{\boldsymbol{b}_T^2 \to 0}{\longrightarrow} f^{(n)}(x) \tag{11}$$

In other words, such derivatives of TMDs are the generalized transverse moments, the Bessel moments, appearing in Bessel-weighted asymmetries.

An additional advantage is that nonzero $b_T \equiv |\boldsymbol{b}_T|$ (and finite ζ) allows for calculation of TMDs on the lattice. The Bessel-weighted analogue of the Sivers shift is [33]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k_T^y(x) \rangle_{TU}^{b_T} &= \left. \frac{\int d|\boldsymbol{k}_T| \, |\boldsymbol{k}_T| \int d\phi_p \frac{2J_1(|\boldsymbol{k}_T||\boldsymbol{b}_T|)}{|\boldsymbol{b}_T|} \, \sin(\phi_p - \phi_S) \, \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T; P, S; \mu, \zeta)\gamma^+\right]}{\int d|\boldsymbol{k}_T| \, |\boldsymbol{k}_T| \int d\phi_p J_0(|\boldsymbol{k}_T||\boldsymbol{b}_T|)) \, \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T; P, S; \mu, \zeta)\gamma^+\right]} \right|_{S^\pm = 0 = S_T^y, \, S_T^x = 1} \\ &= M \frac{\tilde{f}_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta)}{\tilde{f}_1^{(0)}(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For nonzero b_T this involves well-defined (finite) quantities, with Wilson lines that are off the lightcone (spacelike) for finite ζ. After taking Mellin moment, one has a well-defined quantity of n and b_T that can be and has been evaluated on the lattice [35]. Although smaller pion masses and larger ζ should be considered, this lattice study constitutes the first 'first-principles' demonstration within QCD that the Sivers and Boer-Mulders functions are nonzero. Moreover, it corroborates the overall sign relation between SIDIS and DY gauge links (as it should) and is consistent with the up Sivers function in SIDIS being negative and the down Sivers function positive, as obtained in models and fits to data.

As ζ is taken larger the Wilson lines are approaching the lightfront. In case of the nucleon one should consider

$$\hat{\zeta} = \frac{\zeta}{2m_N} = \frac{\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{P}}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{v}^2|}\sqrt{P^2}} = \sinh(y_P - y_v) \gg \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{2m_N} \approx 0.1 \tag{13}$$

To avoid large logs, optimal Collins-Soper parameter $\zeta = \mathcal{O}(Q) \gg m_N$. Recent studies of the pion Boer-Mulders shift have a much improved determination of the ζ dependence, which shows that for larger values it approaches a constant rather quickly [36]. This is very promising for a stable determination of the large ζ Boer-Mulders and Sivers shifts. The other limit of interest, i.e. $b_T \to 0$, also appears to approach a constant fast for larger $\hat{\zeta}$ values. The limit $b_T \to 0$ of the Sivers shift can tell us something about the Qiu-Sterman function:

$$\lim_{b_T\to 0} \tilde{f}_{1T}^{(1)[+]}(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) \stackrel{?}{=} -\frac{T(x, S_T; \mu)}{2M}, \tag{14}$$

where the '?' is included because of the ζ dependence of the r.h.s., just like for:

$$\lim_{b_T\to 0} \tilde{f}_1^{(0)}(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta) \stackrel{?}{=} f_1(x; \mu). \tag{15}$$

Strictly speaking, such an identification is only meaningful when viewed as part of the full cross section expression in which the ζ dependence cancels, but if the limits of $b_T \to 0$ and large ζ become constant, the limit of the Bessel moment may be unambiguous. It is a very interesting limit to consider, since the Qiu-Sterman function itself is intrinsically non-local along the lightcone (involving $\int d\eta^- \; F^{+\alpha}(\eta^-)$) and cannot be evaluated on the lattice.

3 Average transverse momentum

The dijet imbalance in hadron-hadron collisions is used to extract the average partonic transverse momentum. Dijets, and also dimuons and diphotons, have the advantage that there is no contribution from the fragmentation process. The observed transverse momentum Q_T of a pair of jets will generally be different from that of a pair of muons or photons [37] because of the different partonic scattering contributions: $\langle Q_T^2\rangle_{\rm pair}/2 = \langle k_T^2\rangle_{\rm intrinsic} + \langle k_T^2\rangle_{\rm soft} + \langle k_T^2\rangle_{\rm NLO}$. Dimuons/diphotons are mainly sensitive to $\langle k_T^2\rangle$ of the quarks, whereas dijets also probe the intrinsic transverse momentum of gluons. In addition, they are affected differently by spin correlation effects that are in principle also present [38; 39]. For the idealized case of equal jet transverse momenta (both equal to $E_T/2$) the differential cross section of dijet production takes the form [38]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_T d\delta\phi} = A(Q_t^2) + B(Q_t^2)Q_t^2 + C(Q_t^2)Q_t^4, \tag{16}$$

where $Q_t = E_T|\sin(\delta\phi/2)|$. Here B comes from the double Boer-Mulders effect for quarks [16; 17; 40] and C from the gluon analogue. The latter contribution is absent for dimuons or diphotons. Due to the presence of both ISI and FSI, the dijet expressions may not factorize though [15], which complicates or may even prevent the extraction of $\langle k_T^2\rangle$ from the dijet imbalance in hadron-hadron collisions.

The average Q_T^2 can be measured, but how is the $\langle k_T^2\rangle$ defined here? Higher transverse moments of f_1, starting with $\langle k_T^2\rangle = 2M^2 f_1^{(1)}$, generally diverge due to the power law tail. The average k_T can be defined by a Gaussian fit to the low k_T part of the distribution as done in [27], but as the energy increases this becomes less accurate and less relevant. We propose a definition using Bessel weighting instead:

$$\frac{\langle k_T^2\rangle}{2M^2} = f_1^{(1)}(x) \to \tilde{f}_1^{(1)}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) = \frac{2\pi}{M^2}\int d|\boldsymbol{k}_T|\frac{|\boldsymbol{k}_T|^2}{|\boldsymbol{b}_T|}J_1(|\boldsymbol{b}_T||\boldsymbol{k}_T|)\; f_1(x, \boldsymbol{k}_T^2). \tag{17}$$

With respect to cutting off the perturbative tail any regularization will do, but Bessel weighting is natural from the perspective of deconvoluting TMD expressions. It suggests and allows a lattice study of the gauge link dependence of $\tilde{f}_1^{(1)[\mathcal{U}]}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2)$. It can be shown that $\mathcal{U} = +$ (SIDIS) and $\mathcal{U} = -$ (DY) are the same now, requiring consideration of TMD-factorizing processes with more complicated links [12].

The difference of the average p_T^2 in scattering off a nucleus A and a proton p is called the "p_T broadening" $\Delta p_T^2 \equiv \langle p_T^2 \rangle_A - \langle p_T^2 \rangle_p$, where p_T denotes the observed transverse momentum. It quantifies the broadening of the p_T spectrum that one expects from multiple scattering that becomes more frequent with increasing A. Relating the observable broadening to the analogous quantity at the quark level, Δk_T^2, leads to a difference of two in principle divergent quantities, due to the perturbative tail $\propto 1/k_T^2$. Although that difference will be finite, it converges very slowly to the true value as function of a cut-off on k_T. Here it is suggested to consider Bessel-weighted TMDs which are finite by themselves, such that their difference approaches Δk_T^2 in the small b_T limit:

$$\tilde{f}_1^{(1)q/A[\mathcal{U}]}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) - \tilde{f}_1^{(1)q/p[\mathcal{U}]}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2) \overset{\boldsymbol{b}_T^2 \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \Delta k_T^{2\,[\mathcal{U}]} \equiv \langle k_T^2 \rangle_A^{[\mathcal{U}]} - \langle k_T^2 \rangle_p^{[\mathcal{U}]}. \tag{18}$$

The limit of $b_T \to 0$ converges very slowly for a perturbative tail $1/k_T^2$, like for any other cut-off on this tail. In contrast, the observed p_T distribution falls off much faster than $1/p_T^2$ and will not have this problem. Using the Bessel moments, a well-defined ratio can also be formed, but as b_T gets smaller the interesting information about the A versus p difference will be quickly lost, reaching $(\infty + \Delta)/\infty = 1$:

$$R_\Delta \equiv \frac{\tilde{f}_1^{(1)q/A}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2)}{\tilde{f}_1^{(1)q/p}(x, \boldsymbol{b}_T^2)} \overset{\boldsymbol{b}_T^2 \to 0}{\longrightarrow} 1. \tag{19}$$

The quark broadening Δk_T^2 in principle depends on the gauge link $\mathcal{U}$, possibly leading to non-universal nuclear effects. Experimental study of such effects will be hard, as the p_T broadening in different processes is different anyway, like in the collinear twist-4 treatment [41], because of the different partonic subprocesses. It will be hard to disentangle that from the additional process dependence from ISI and/or FSI. The Bessel-weighting version of Δk_T^2 would allow a lattice study of the possible link dependence of the quark broadening, if one can create reliable nuclear states on the lattice (cf. e.g. [42]).

4 Conclusions

Bessel-weighted expressions are well-defined and emphasize the TMD region, as opposed to conventional average transverse momentum quantities. Bessel-weighted TMDs, including the process-dependent Sivers function, are calculable on the lattice for finite b_T and ζ. The limit $b_T \to 0$ should be taken with care, because divergences and operator mixing typically arise, but in case it is a stable limit, the lattice result can even tell us about the size and shape of the Qiu-Sterman function.

Extraction of the average partonic transverse momentum from experimental observables is generally very complicated due to spin correlation effects and multiple sources of process dependence. As a result, the commonly used observable like the dijet imbalance may actually not allow for extraction of the average partonic transverse momentum, as opposed to for instance the dimuon and diphoton imbalance. The average transverse momentum and its broadening with atomic number A for nuclei can also be redefined in a well-defined manner by considering Bessel weighting, allowing them to be studied on the lattice. The possible relation between the process and A dependence of f_1 can be studied in this way, as the average transverse momentum and its A dependence need not be universal.

References

1. Sivers D.W. (1990) Single spin production asymmetries from the hard scattering of point-like constituents. Phys. Rev. D **41**, 83-90
2. Boer D., Mulders P.J. (1998) Time reversal odd distribution functions in leptoproduction. Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5780-5786
3. Brodsky S.J., Hwang D.S., Schmidt I. (2002) Final state interactions and single spin asymmetries in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering. Phys. Lett. B **530**, 99-107
4. Collins J.C. (2002) Leading twist single transverse-spin asymmetries: Drell-Yan and deep inelastic scattering. Phys. Lett. B **536**, 43-48

5. Belitsky A.V., Ji X., Yuan F. (2003) Final state interactions and gauge invariant parton distributions. Nucl. Phys. B **656**, 165-198
6. Efremov A.V., Radyushkin A.V. (1981) Field theoretic treatment of high momentum transfer processes. 3. gauge theories. Theor. Math. Phys. **44**, 774-784 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **44**, 327-341 (1980)].
7. Collins J.C., Soper D.E., Sterman G. (1983) Relation of parton distribution functions in the Drell-Yan process to deeply inelastic scattering. Phys. Lett. B **126**, 275-278
8. Boer D., Mulders P.J. (2000) Color gauge invariance in the Drell-Yan process. Nucl. Phys. B **569**, 505-526
9. Brodsky S.J., Hwang D.S., Schmidt I. (2002) Initial state interactions and single spin asymmetries in Drell-Yan processes. Nucl. Phys. B **642**, 344-356
10. Boer D., Mulders P.J., Pijlman F. (2003) Universality of T-odd effects in single spin and azimuthal asymmetries. Nucl. Phys. B **667**, 201-241
11. Bomhof C.J., Mulders P.J., Pijlman F. (2004) Gauge link structure in quark-quark correlators in hard processes. Phys. Lett. B **596**, 277-286
12. Buffing M.G.A., Mukherjee A., Mulders P.J. (2012) Generalized universality of higher transverse moments of quark TMD correlators. Phys. Rev. D **86**, 074030
13. Buffing M.G.A., Mulders P.J. (2014) Color entanglement for azimuthal asymmetries in the Drell-Yan process. Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 092002
14. Collins J., Qiu J.-W. (2007) k_T factorization is violated in production of high-transverse-momentum particles in hadron-hadron collisions," Phys. Rev. D **75**, 114014
15. Rogers T.C., Mulders P.J. (2010) No generalized TMD-factorization in hadro-production of high transverse momentum hadrons. Phys. Rev. D **81**, 094006
16. Boer D. (1999) Investigating the origins of transverse spin asymmetries at RHIC. Phys. Rev. D **60**, 014012
17. Boer D., Brodsky S.J., Hwang D.S. (2003) Initial state interactions in the unpolarized Drell-Yan process. Phys. Rev. D **67**, 054003
18. Sun P., Xiao B.W., Yuan F. (2011) Gluon distribution functions and Higgs boson production at moderate transverse momentum. Phys. Rev. D **84**, 094005
19. Boer D., den Dunnen W.J., Pisano C., Schlegel M., Vogelsang W. (2012) Linearly polarized gluons and the Higgs transverse momentum distribution. Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 032002
20. Mulders P.J., Rodrigues J. (2001) Transverse momentum dependence in gluon distribution and fragmentation functions. Phys. Rev. D **63**, 094021
21. Polyakov A.M. (1980) Gauge fields as rings of glue. Nucl. Phys. B **164**, 171-188
22. Dotsenko V.S., Vergeles S.N. (1980) Renormalizability of phase factors in the nonabelian gauge theory. Nucl. Phys. B **169**, 527-546
23. Korchemsky G.P., Radyushkin A.V. (1987) Renormalization of the Wilson loops beyond the leading order. Nucl. Phys. B **283**, 342–364
24. Aybat S.M., Prokudin A., Rogers T.C. (2012) Calculation of TMD evolution for transverse single spin asymmetry measurements. Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 242003
25. Sun P., Yuan F. (2013) Energy evolution for the Sivers asymmetries in hard processes. Phys. Rev. D **88**, 034016
26. Echevarria M.G., Idilbi A., Kang Z.B., Vitev I. (2014) QCD evolution of the Sivers asymmetry. Phys. Rev. D **89**, 074013
27. Aybat S.M., Rogers T.C. (2011) TMD parton distribution and fragmentation functions with QCD evolution. Phys. Rev. D **83**, 114042
28. Kotzinian A.M., Mulders P.J. (1997) Probing transverse quark polarization via azimuthal asymmetries in leptoproduction. Phys. Lett. B **406**, 373-380
29. Collins J.C. (2003) What exactly is a parton density? Acta Phys. Polon. B **34**, 3103-3120
30. Qiu J.-W., Sterman G. (1991) Single transverse spin asymmetries. Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2264-2267
31. Ji X., Qiu J.-W., Vogelsang W., Yuan F. (2006) A unified picture for single transverse-spin asymmetries in hard processes. Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 082002
32. Koike Y., Vogelsang W., Yuan F. (2008) On the relation between mechanisms for single-transverse-spin asymmetries. Phys. Lett. B **659**, 878-884
33. Boer D., Gamberg L., Musch B.U., Prokudin A. (2011) Bessel-weighted asymmetries in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering JHEP **1110**, 021
34. Aghasyan M. *et al.* (2014) Studies of transverse momentum dependent parton distributions and Bessel weighting. arXiv:1409.0487 [hep-ph]
35. Musch B.U., Hägler P., Engelhardt M., Negele J.W., Schäfer A. (2012) Sivers and Boer-Mulders observables from lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. D **85**, 094510
36. Engelhardt M., Musch B., Hägler P., Negele J., Schäfer A. (2013) Lattice study of the Boer-Mulders transverse momentum distribution in the pion. PoS LATTICE **2013**, 284
37. Begel M. (1999) Production of high mass pairs of direct photons and neutral mesons in a Tevatron fixed target experiment. FERMILAB-THESIS-1999-05.
38. Boer D., Mulders P.J., Pisano C. (2009) Dijet imbalance in hadronic collisions. Phys. Rev. D **80**, 094017
39. Pisano C. *et al.* (2013) Linear polarization of gluons and photons in unpolarized collider experiments. JHEP **1310**, 024
40. Lu Z., Schmidt I. (2008) Azimuthal angle dependence of di-jet production in unpolarized hadron scattering. Phys. Rev. D **78**, 034041
41. Xing H., Kang Z.-B., Vitev I., Wang E. (2012) Transverse momentum imbalance of back-to-back particle production in p+A and e+A collisions. Phys. Rev. D **86**, 094010
42. Detmold W., Orginos K. (2013) Nuclear correlation functions in lattice QCD. Phys. Rev. D **87**, 114512